

kind of re-description of sociological ideas in formulaic ways. See for instance A. Stinchcombe. (1968), and J. S. Coleman (1964). A more recent instance from a somewhat different field is the use of graph theory in the analysis of technical and scientific change. See Callon et al. (1986).

- [2] Arguments for incorporation raised specifically from studies of entrepreneurs can be found in A. Kalleberg (1986).
- [3] See also Barth (1967) and Paine (1971).
- [4] One of the problems for the class determination account is to provide a theory of the acquisition of sociality which does not turn into a sub-variant of socialisation theory. In this respect, the social psychology (broadly construed) of class relations remains a somewhat under-developed field. Forays by those such as Foucault seem to have a surprisingly Durkheimian cast. C.f. M. Foucault. (1972).

PART TWO THE SOCIAL AND THE CALCULATIVE

kind of re-description of sociological ideas in formulaic ways. See for instance A. Stinchcombe. (1968), and J. S. Coleman (1964). A more recent instance from a somewhat different field is the use of graph theory in the analysis of technical and scientific change. See Callon et al. (1986).

- [2] Arguments for incorporation raised specifically from studies of entrepreneurs can be found in A. Kalleberg (1986).
- [3] See also Barth (1967) and Paine (1971).
- [4] One of the problems for the class determination account is to provide a theory of the acquisition of sociality which does not turn into a sub-variant of socialisation theory. In this respect, the social psychology (broadly construed) of class relations remains a somewhat under-developed field. Forays by those such as Foucault seem to have a surprisingly Durkheimian cast. C.f. M. Foucault. (1972).

PART TWO THE SOCIAL AND THE CALCULATIVE